arXiv:1211.1748v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 8 Nov 2012

Classification of mass and nematic orders in bilayer graphene

Bitan Roy[1]

[1]National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, FL 32306, USA

(Dated: November 9, 2012)

We here classify of all the possible gapped *massive* and gapless *nematic* phases, in bilayer graphene. Starting from a simple tight binding lattice model, we review the derivation of effective low energy Hamiltonian for AB-stacked bilayer graphene. Various discrete and continuous symmetries of the non-interacting theory is discussed. Response of the gapped insulating orders for the spinless fermions to the quantizing magnetic field is addressed. A precise definition of the quantum anomalous Hall state is given. We show, even though there are three time-reversal symmetry breaking orders for spinless fermions, only one of them corresponds to *anomalous Hall state*. Upon constructing a particle-hole doubled 16 component Nambu-Dirac spinor, we recognize all the possible mass orders, leading to gaps for the quasi-particle excitations near the Dirac points as well as the nematic orders, which on the other hand, splits the parabolic dispersion into two anisotropic Dirac like conical ones. Transformation of the order parameters under various continuous and/or discrete symmetries is reported. We show there are altogether 16 and 12 fermionic bilinears, respectively defining all the gapped insulating and superconducting orders. However, physically they correspond to only 8 insulating and 4 superconducting phases. Among the gapped superconductors, *three* are spin-singlet, which include uniform s-wave and two spatially inhomogeneous, translational symmetry breaking Kekule superconductors. The triplet pairing exhibits an f-wave symmetry around the Brillouin zone. Insulating orders in bilayer graphene fall into two broad categories, translational symmetry i) preserving layer-polarized, anti-ferromagnet, spin and anomalous Hall phases and ii) breaking spin singlet and triplet Kekule-currents. Besides the gapped phases, there are altogether 56 fermionic bilinears, describing all the *nematic* orders in bilayer graphene. 32 of them corresponds to semi-metallic and remaining 24 to superconducting order parameters, which conform to 16 semimetallic and 8 superconducting states. Some of the nematic superconducting phases break the translational symmetry. We name them *nematic-Fulde-Farrell-Larkin-Ovchinikov* superconductors. A brief review over the role of the finite ranged electron-electron interactions, which can destabilize the semimetallic bilayer graphene towards various correlated ground states is presented.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Pm, 71.10.Li, 05.30.Fk, 74.20.Rp, 71.10.-w,

I. INTRODUCTION

Carbon based materials opened a new frontier in condensed matter physics following the successful fabrication of single and bilayer graphene.[1] The low energy excitations in mono-layer graphene can be described by massless, chiral Dirac fermions around the six corners of the first Brillouin zone. However, only *two* out of them are inequivalent.[2] On the other hand, bilayer graphene with Bernal stacking, leads to parabolic dispersions around those points.[3,4] Placed in a *weak* quantizing magnetic field both monolayer and bilayer graphene exhibit quantized plateaus of Hall conductivity at integer fillings: $\nu = \pm(4n+2)$ in mono-layer and $\nu = \pm(4n+4)$ in bilayer graphene, with $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.[5] The extra four fold degeneracy of all the Landau levels including the zeroth one arises from the valley and the spin degeneracies, and the plateaus at $\nu = \pm 2$ (± 4) counts the fact that only half of the zeroth Landau level is filled in neutral mono(bi)layer graphene. The additional two-fold orbital degeneracy of the zeroth Landau level in a bilayer system is solely due to the quadratic dependence of the energy with the momentum.[6] In the presence of spatially modulated fields, even though the Landau level structure disappears, leading to a continuous spectrum, a finite number of states always persists at zero energy. Density of states at zero energy is twice the flux enclosed by the bilayer graphene system, while it is simply proportional to the magnetic flux enclosed by monolayer graphene.[7,8]

In a neutral graphene (either bilayer or monolayer), the valence band is completely filled, whereas the conduction band is totally empty, leading to gapless Dirac (monolayer) or Dirac-like (bilayer) quasi-particle excitations around the Dirac points. In pristine monolayer graphene, any weak electron-electron interaction is irrelevant due to the vanishing density of states at the charge-neutrality point, corresponding to a large domain of attraction for the non-interacting Gaussian fixed point.[9] On the other hand, in bilayer graphene the density of states is finite (in fact, constant). Consequently, even weak electron-electron interactions can be *relevant* in a bilayer graphene.[10,11] Although, in reality such parabolic touching of the bands is achieved at the cost of a fine tuning, where all the hopping amplitudes other than the in-plane nearest-neighbor and out of the plane dimer ones, are neglected. One therefore still require finite thresholds of interaction strength to place the system in ordered phases. Nevertheless, the requisite strength of the interactions for ordering in bilayer graphene is expected to be sufficiently smaller than that in mono-layer graphene, as the remote hopping amplitudes are small. A similar parabolic band structure can also be realized in checkerboard and Kagome lattices, with particular hopping structure.[12] Otherwise, depending on the relative strength of various components of the finite ranged interaction (repulsive or attractive), fermions in bilayer graphene can condense into plethora of insulating, nematic and superconducting ground states.

Strength of the Coulomb interaction in a bilayer graphene can be tuned quite efficiently by changing the gate configuration. For example, interactions are relatively long-ranged in the presence of a single gate, whereas it becomes considerably short-ranged with an additional gate (top and bottom gate).[13] Therefore, one can observe different correlated phases in bilayer graphene, upon changing number of gates. Some of the ordered phases have already been observed experimentally; with a single gate, the parabolic band structure breaks into two anisotropic Dirac like dispersions, known as the *nematic order*.[14] However with two gates, an anti-ferromagnet gap appears to persist even down to zero magnetic field. The size of the gap otherwise increases monotonically with the strength of the magnetic field.[15] Yet another insulating order can be realized by applying a gate voltage between two layers. It produces a density imbalance of the charge carriers among two layers and that way leads to a gapped spectrum. Such a phase is known as *layer polarized state*.[16] This phase has also been predicted to appear from electron-electron interactions.[17] The above discussion therefore raises the following questions: What are the possible ordered phases (either fully gapped or nematic) available for electrons in bilayer graphene to condense into? How do the ordered phases evolve under the influence of quantizing magnetic fields? What are the spin triplet and/or singlet superconducting state that fermions in bilayer graphene can pair into? Can any nematic (gapless) superconducting state be found in bilayer graphene?

In bilayer graphene the low energy the Dirac-like Hamiltonian is eight dimensional, arising from two layers, two valleys and two projections of spin (in monolayer graphene the Dirac Hamiltonian is also eight dimensional, however two layer degrees of freedom is replaced by two sublattices). If therefore, the cooper pairs to be accommodated, the Nambu particle-hole doubled Hamiltonian is sixteen dimensional. We here show that there are altogether 28, 16-dimensional matrices ($\mathcal{M}$) that anti-commute with the low energy kinetic Hamiltonian, yielding non-zero expectation values of the fermionic bilinears $\Psi^\dagger \mathcal{M} \Psi$. This number is restricted by the Fermi-Dirac statistics, which here translates to a set of algebraic constrains that the matrices ($\mathcal{M}$) need to satisfy. These orders upon acquiring finite expectation values lead to fully gapped phases. Out of 28 bilinears, 16 define the insulating orders: layer polarized order (1), anti-ferromagnet (3), quantum anomalous Hall (1) and spin Hall (3) insulator, *odd* and *even* kekule currents in the spin singlet (2×1) and triplet (2×3) channels. The characterizations '*odd*' and '*even*' of the Kekule orders reflect their transformation under the exchange of two Dirac points. Numbers in the parentheses indicate the number of matrices required to define the corresponding order parameter. The remaining 12 bi-linears define 3 spin singlet and 1 spin triplet superconducting phases. The singlet superconducting orders are conventional s-wave and two spatially inhomogeneous *Kekule superconductors*. The latter ones break the translational symmetry of the lattice into Kekule pattern and offer examples of Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinikov type of superconducting phases appropriate to the bilayer honeycomb lattice.[18,19] The only gapped triplet superconductor exhibits an f-wave symmetry, changing sign six times around the Brillouin zone.

Besides the fully gapped insulating and superconducting orders, there are various possible nematic orders which upon acquiring finite expectation values split the parabolic dispersion into two anisotropic conical ones, located away from the Dirac points. The separation among these two points is proportional to the size of the nematic orders. *The necessary property of any nematic order is that it anti-commutes with only one of the matrices appearing in the kinetic energy term, while commutes with the other.* In conjunction with the above definition, a set of algebraic constraint restricts the number of nematic order parameters in bilayer graphene to 56. 32 of them corresponds to nematic semi-metal while remaining 24 to the nematic superconductors. However, they corresponds to 16 semimetallic and 8 superconducting states. We also show that there can be nematic superconductors, which break the translational symmetry of the honeycomb bilayer lattice. We name them as *nematic-Fulde-Farrel-Larkin-Ovchinikov* superconductors.

Organization of rest of the paper is as follows. In the next section we discuss the lattice model of hopping and the spectrum of free fermions. Sec. III is devoted to arrive at the effective low energy theory in bilayer graphene. There we also discous various discrete and/or continuous symmetries of the non-interacting system. Behavior of various mass orders for spinless fermions in quantizing magnetic field is discussed in Sec. IV. A 16-component Nambu-Dirac spinor, preserving the spin rotational symmetry is constructed in Sec. V. Various possible insulating and superconducting masses are shown in Sec. VI. Classification of all the nematic orders in bilayer graphene is presented in Sec. VII. We discuss the role of the electron-electron interactions in Sec. VIII. A brief summary of the present discussion is given in Sec. IX. In the appendix we present an algebraic derivation of the number of the mass orders in bilayer graphene and their associated Clifford algebra.

II. LATTICE MODEL OF FREE FERMIONS

To set the problem up, let us begin our discussion with a simple tight binding description of the free fermions (and for simplicity spinless) in a bilayer honeycomb lattice. One can decompose it as

$$H_0 = H_0^{\perp} + H_0^{\|}. \tag{1}$$

Here

$$H_0^{\|} = t \sum_{j=1,2} \sum_{\vec{A},i} u_j^{\dagger}(\vec{A})\, v_j(\vec{A} - (-1)^j \vec{b}_i) + H.c., \tag{2}$$

corresponds to intra-layer hopping among the sites of the two triangular sublattices. The intralayer hopping amplitude is $t \sim 2.5$ eV.[20] $u_j^{\dagger}(\vec{A})$ is the fermion creation operator at one of the triangular sublattices generated by the linear combination of basis vectors $\vec{a}_1 = (\sqrt{3}, -1)a$ and $\vec{a}_2 = (0, 1)a$. $v(\vec{B})$ is the fermion annihilation operator on the other sublattice, then located at $\vec{B} = \vec{A} + \vec{b}$, with the vector $\vec{b}$ being either $\vec{b}_1 = (1/\sqrt{3}, 1)a/2, \vec{b}_2 = (1/\sqrt{3}, -1)a/2$ or $\vec{b}_3 = (-1/\sqrt{3}, 0)a$. $j = 1, 2$ corresponds to two layers. Whereas, the inter-layer hopping Hamiltonian takes the following form

$$H_0^{\perp} \;=\; H_{0,0}^{\perp} \;+\; H_{0,1}^{\perp} \;+\; H_{0,2}^{\perp}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$H_{0,0}^{\perp} \;=\; t_{\perp} \sum_{\vec{A}} u_1^{\dagger}(\vec{A})\, u_2(\vec{A}) + H.c., \tag{4}$$

$$H_{0,1}^{\perp} \;=\; t_{AB}^{12} \sum_{\vec{A},i} u_1^{\dagger}(\vec{A})\, v_2(\vec{A} - \vec{b}_i) + H.c., \tag{5}$$

and

$$H_{0,2}^{\perp} \;=\; t_{BB}^{12} \sum_{\vec{B},i} v_1^{\dagger}(\vec{B})\, v_2(\vec{B} - \vec{b}_i) + H.c.. \tag{6}$$

Various components of the inter-layer hoppings have been measured experimentally.[21] Their estimated strengths are $t_{\perp} \sim 0.5$ eV, $t_{AB}^{12} \sim 0.1$ eV, $t_{BB}^{12} \sim 0.3$ eV. To diagonalize H_0, let us define a 4-component spinor

$$\Psi_k \;=\; \Big(u_1(\vec{k}), v_1(\vec{k}), u_2(\vec{k}), v_2(\vec{k}) \Big)^{\top}. \tag{7}$$

The tight binding Hamiltonian in this basis is

$$H_0 \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t\, f(k) & t_{\perp} & t_{AB}^{12} f(k) \\ t\, f^*(k) & 0 & 0 & t_{BB}^{12} f(k) \\ t_{\perp} & 0 & 0 & t\, f^*(k) \\ t_{AB}^{12} f^*(k) & t_{BB}^{12} f^*(k) & t\, f(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

where

$$f(k) \;=\; \sum_{i=1,2,3} \exp\Big(i\, \vec{k} \,\cdot\, \vec{b}_i \Big), \tag{9}$$

and $f^*(\vec{k})$ is the complex conjugate.

Next we drop the remote hopping amplitudes, t_{AB}^{12} and t_{BB}^{12}, as they are much smaller than t and $t_{\perp}$. Then the energy spectrum is composed of *four* band with dispersions

$$E_1(k) \;=\; \pm\frac{1}{2} \;\cdot\; \frac{t^2\, |f(k)|^2}{t_{\perp}}, \tag{10}$$

and

$$E_2(k) \;=\; \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{4\, t^2\, |f(k)|^2 \;+\; t_{\perp}^2 \;+\; O\left(|f(k)|^4\right)}. \tag{11}$$

Note that $f(k)$ is zero at $\vec{K} = (1, 1/\sqrt{3}) 2\pi/a\sqrt{3}$ and $-\vec{K}$, located at the two inequivalent corners of the Brillouin zone. Near the Dirac points $E_1(k)$ vanishes and the dispersion is comprised of two parabolic bands, touching each other. On the other hand, spectrum of $E_2(k)$ is gapped near the Dirac points, with band gap $\sim 2\ t_{\perp}$.[4] Such parabolic degeneracy can only be achieved after setting the remote hopping terms to zero. Inclusion of remote hoppings t_{BB}^{12}, splits the parabolic bands into *four* Dirac cones, known as *trigonal wraping.* In general the eigenfunctions of E_2 have finite overlap on both the sublattices. However, in the vicinity of the Dirac points they can be considered to be localized on the sites A_i, with $i = 1, 2$. Expanding $f(k)$ near $\pm\vec{K}$ yields

$$f(\pm\vec{K} + \vec{q}) = \frac{3}{2}\ (\pm q_x \;+\; i\ q_y)\,. \tag{12}$$

Therefore

$$E_1(\pm\vec{K} + \vec{q}) \;=\; \frac{1}{2\, m}\ \left(q_x^2 \;+\; q_y^2\right), \tag{13}$$

with $m = 4t_{\perp}/3t^2a^2$ being the mass of the parabolic dispersion. a is the lattice spacing. In bilayer graphene $m \approx 0.03 m_e$, where m_e is the mass of free electrons.

III. EFFECTIVE LOW ENERGY THEORY

A. Lagrangian

In the previous section we show that near the Dirac points (as $q_x, q_y \to 0$), states in the gapped band ($E_2(k)$) are localized on sites of A-sub-lattices, A_1 and A_2, named as 'dimer sites'. Hence, in the low energy limit these sites are of no dynamical importance. In this section we will derive the form of the effective low energy Hamiltonian after integrating out the high energy band, $E_2(k)$. Our derivation mimics the one performed by O. Vafek in Ref. (22).[22] Nevertheless, it is worth reviewing that derivation briefly to facilitate further discussion. The partition function describing the free motion of the spinless fermions is

$$\mathcal{Z} = e^{-\int_0^{\beta} d\tau\ \psi_B^*\ (\partial_\tau + H_{BB})\ \psi_B} \times \int \mathcal{D}\psi_A^* \mathcal{D}\ \psi_A$$

$$e^{-\int_0^{\beta} d\tau\ \psi_A^*\ (\partial_\tau + H_{AA})\ \psi_A + \psi_A^* H_{AB} \psi_B + \psi_B^* H_{BA} \psi_A}, \tag{14}$$

where $\psi_X = (\psi_{1,X}, \psi_{2,X})$ with $X = A, B$ are two component spinors and $i = 1, 2$ correspond to the layer indices. For convenience we neglect the remote hopping amplitudes and keep the one among the 'dimer sites' ($t_\perp$) and the intra-layer hopping (t). In this representation H_{AB}, H_{BB} and H_{AA} are two dimensional matrices and read as

$$H_{BB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \;,\; H_{AA} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_\perp \\ t_\perp & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

and

$$H_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} t\, f(k) & 0 \\ 0 & t\, f^*(k) \end{pmatrix} \;,\; H_{BA} = H^\dagger_{AB}. \tag{16}$$

Even though, H_{BB} is trivial at the bare level, once we integrate out the high energy modes, it gets renormalized. The single praticle Green's function for ψ_A field is

$$G_{AA}(i\omega_n) = \frac{i\omega_n I_2 + t_\perp \sigma_x}{\omega_n^2 + t_\perp^2}, \tag{17}$$

where $\omega_n = (2n+1)\pi T$ are the fermionic Matsubara frequencies, and T is the temperature. Expanding the action to the quadratic order and integrating out the ψ_A field, yields a renormalized partition function for the ψ_B field

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_B &= e^{-\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\omega_n} \int d\vec{x} \mathcal{L}_0} \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\omega_n} \psi^*_B(i\omega_n) \left(-i\omega_n + H_{BB} - H_{AB} G_{AA}(i\omega_n) H_{BA}\right) \psi_B(i\omega_n)}, \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\omega_n} \psi^*_B(i\omega_n)\, L_0 \psi_B(i\omega_n)} \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Since we are interested in the modes near the charge-neutrality points, one can simply set $\omega_n = 0$ in the last term of the effective action.

B. Hamiltonian

The imaginary-time non-interacting Lagrangian (L_0) is related to the single-particle Hamiltonian (H_0) via $L_0 = -i\omega_n + H_0$. Therefore the low energy Hamiltonian, quadratic in momentum, is

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{v_F^2}{t_\perp}(q_x + iq_y)^2 \\ \frac{v_F^2}{t_\perp}(q_x - iq_y)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19}$$

near one of the Dirac point at $\vec{K}$. Taking into account the Fourier modes near the other Dirac point at $-\vec{K}$, the four dimensional Hamiltonian describing the low excitations reads as

$$H_0 = \gamma_2 \left(\frac{q_x^2 - q_y^2}{2\, m}\right) + \gamma_1 \left(\frac{-2\, q_x\, q_y}{2\, m}\right), \tag{20}$$

in the basis of four-component spinor $\Psi(\vec{x})$, defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^\dagger(\vec{x}) \;=\; & \int^\Lambda \frac{d\,\vec{q}}{(2\pi a)^2} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}} \Big[v_1^\dagger(\vec{K} + \vec{q}), \\ & v_2^\dagger(\vec{K} + \vec{q}), v_1^\dagger(-\vec{K} + \vec{q}), v_2^\dagger(-\vec{K} + \vec{q}) \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$\Lambda (\sim t_\perp^2/4t \sim 200$ meV) is the high energy cut-off representing the range of energies over which the dispersion is approximately parabolic. The mutually anti-commuting four component Hermitian gamma matrices belong to the representation[23]

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_z & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_z \end{pmatrix},\; \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_y & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_y \end{pmatrix},\; \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_x \end{pmatrix}. \tag{22}$$

The two remaining matrices can then be chosen as

$$\gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_y \\ \sigma_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma_y \\ i\sigma_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{23}$$

These matrices satisfy the anti-commuting Clifford algebra $\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$, for $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5$. The form of the free Hamiltonian is identical to the one for monolayer graphene in quadratic order.[24,25] The underlying reason is as follows. After integrating out the high energy bands, the remaining lattice points on B-sublattices also constitute a *honeycomb lattice*, preserving the C_{3v} symmetry around each site. Therefore, the free Hamiltonian needs to be invariant under a rotation by $2\pi/3$ around the Dirac points, which restricts the kinetic energy Hamiltonian to the announced form.

C. Symmetries

The free Hamiltonian respects an emergent chiral $SU_c(2)$ symmetry, generated by $\{i\gamma_0\gamma_3, i\gamma_0\gamma_5, \gamma_{35}\}$, where $\gamma_{35} = i\gamma_3\gamma_5$. The third entity of the group, is the generator of translation.[22,23] A similar chiral symmetry is also present in the emergent low energy theory of the massless Dirac fermions in graphene,[23] and d-wave superconductors.[26] In addition to the chiral symmetry, H_0 is also invariant under the exchange of the layer indices, as well as the Dirac points.[22] These two reflection symmetries are generated by $I_{12} = \gamma_2$ and $I_K = i\gamma_1\gamma_5$, accompanied by the inversions of the momentum axis $q_y \rightarrow -q_y$ and $q_x \rightarrow -q_x$, respectively. Besides these, H_0 is also invariant under the time reversal symmetry, since it describes motion of fermions on a lattice. Time reversal symmetry is represented by an anti-unitary operator $I_t = U\; K$, where U is unitary and K is the complex conjugation. In our representation $U = i\gamma_1\gamma_5 = \sigma_1 \otimes I_2 \equiv I_K$. Therefore $I_t^2 = +1$, as it should be, since I_t is the time reversal operator for the spinless fermions.[28] Oneself can arrive at the same effective low energy Hamiltonian by using the $\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{p}$ approach.[22,27]

IV. SPINLESS FERMIONS AND ANOMALOUS HALL STATE

Before considering spinfull, it is worth understanding the possible gapped state of spinless fermions in bilayer graphene and their behavior in quantizing magnetic fields. In monolayer graphene, the linear dispersion makes all the short-ranged electron-electron interactions irrelevant near the noninteracting *Gaussian* fixed point. The long range Coulomb interaction ($\sim 1/r$) is also irrelevant, but only marginally.[23,29,30] On the other hand, due to the quadratic band structure, all the short-ranged interactions are marginal in bilayer graphene. Hence, the semimetal-insulator transitions can take place even for weak interactions.[11,22] Any order parameter, leading to a gap in the spectrum, must anticommute with the *entire* free Hamiltonian, so that all the terms enter as sum of the squares in the expression for energy. A finite gap then exists everywhere in the Brillouin zone. For spinless fermions, there are *four* such candidates: (γ_0 , γ_3 , γ_5 , $i\gamma_1\gamma_2$), in a bilayer graphene. The first three members break the chiral $SU_c(2)$ symmetry of the free theory down to $U_c(1)$, whereas the last one lacks the time reversal symmetry, but preserves chiral symmetry. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \gamma_0 \Psi \rangle$ is the order parameter associated with the layer polarized state, leading to an imbalance of the electronic density among two layers. $\langle \Psi^\dagger i\gamma_1\gamma_2 \Psi \rangle$ corresponds to Haldanes circulating current among the sites on same sub-lattice.[31] Otherwise, it propagates in the same directions in two layers. The remaining two entities, $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\gamma_3, \gamma_5\right) \Psi \rangle$ break the chiral as well as the time reversal symmetry.[32] One can however, define an anti-unitary operator as in Ref. (32), $\tilde{I}_t = i\gamma_3\gamma_5$ K, under which three chiral symmetry breaking masses are even, whereas the original time reversal odd mass, still remains *odd*. Otherwise, $\tilde{I}^2_t = -1$, therefore does not corresponds to true time reversal operator. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\gamma_3, \gamma_5\right) \Psi \rangle$ correspond to Kekule currents, which additionally break the translational symmetry of the lattice into Kekule pattern. Otherwise the first (second) member is even (odd) under the exchange of two Dirac points. In monolayer graphene these two matrices are replaced by $i\gamma_0\gamma_3$ and $i\gamma_0\gamma_5$, giving rise to different realizations of the spatially modulated Kekule bond density waves.[33] However, they are time reversal symmetric. Next we argue, even though the kekule currents break the time reversal symmetry, only the Haldane order ($i\gamma_1\gamma_2$) corresponds to the *anomalous Hall insulators*.

Placed in a weak quantizing magnetic field, bilayer graphene exhibits plateaus in Hall conductivity at fillings $\nu = \pm 4(n+1)$. The orbital effect of the magnetic field can be captured via a minimal substitution $q_i \to q_i - A_i$ in H_0 in Eq. (20), giving $H_0[A]$. Magnetic field then reads as $B = \epsilon_{ij}\partial_i A_j$. The spectrum of $H_0[A]$ is comprised of a set of macroscopically degenerate Landau levels at well separated energies $E_n = \sqrt{n(n-1)B^2}$ with $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. The zeroth Landau level in bilayer graphene (with $n = 0, 1$) carries additional two fold orbital degeneracy due to the parabolic dispersion in the vicinity of the Dirac points. States in the zeroth Landau level near two valleys ($\vec{K}$ and $-\vec{K}$) reside on the complementary layers, 1 and 2 respectively, for example. These two sets of zero energy state constitute a two dimensional basis $\mathcal{H}_0$. Any matrix that commutes or anticommutes with the Hamiltonian $H_0[A]$, leaves the space invariant. There are *four* matrices, falling into the second category, $\{\gamma_0, \gamma_3, \gamma_5, i\gamma_1\gamma_2\}$. Together they also close a $Cl(3) \times U(1)$ algebra of the order parameters, where the $U(1)$ part is constituted by the last entry.[34] To understand the behavior of these orders in the presence of magnetic fields, let us consider an auxiliary Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} &H[m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4] = \\ &H_0[A] + m_1\gamma_0 + m_2\gamma_3 + m_3\gamma_5 + m_4 i\gamma_1\gamma_2. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The eigenvalues of $H[m_1, m_2, m_3, 0]$ are at $\pm\sqrt{n(n-1)B^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2}$. Any linear combination of m_1, m_2, m_3 reduces the chiral $SU_c(2)$ symmetry to a $U_c(1)$. Therefore in the presence of the chiral symmetry breaking orders the zeroth Landau level splits to $E_0 = \pm\sqrt{m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2}$, whereas Landau levels at finite energies are only *shifted*. Hence it is *always* energetically advantageous for the system to develop such *mass* orders in the presence of the magnetic fields, to maximally lower the energy. The mechanism of developing a chiral symmetry breaking *mass* order is known as *magnetic catalysis*, discussed previously in the context of Dirac fermions subject to magnetic fields.[35,37] It can also be the underlying mechanism behind the formation of Hall states at fillings $\nu = 0, \pm 1$ in monolayer graphene.[36] However the energy spectrum of $H[0, 0, 0, m_4]$ within the zeroth Landau level is sign$(m_4)m_4$. Rest of the Landau levels are shifted to $\pm\sqrt{n(n-1)B^2 + m_4^2}$. A finite m_4 therefore shifts the zeroth Landau level, can only be realized by changing the *filling factor* from the neutrality ($\nu = 0$). Concomitantly, it leads to quantized Hall conductivity $\sigma_{xy} = \pm 2\frac{e^2}{h}$. On the other hand, m_2 and m_3 even though break the time-reversal symmetry, system discerns zero Hall conductivity when $m_2 \neq 0$ and/or $m_3 \neq 0$. Therefore, only the Haldane order ($i\gamma_1\gamma_2$) corresponds to quantum anomalous Hall insulator. A similar conclusion can be arrived at upon computing the expectation values of aforementioned orders, with the non-interacting wavefunctions of the zeroth Landau level.[38] It can also be justified from that fact that $\vec{M}_{c,V} = (\gamma_0\ ,\ \gamma_3\ ,\ \gamma_5)$ transform as a vector under the chiral $SU_c(2)$ rotation generated by $\{i\gamma_0\gamma_3, i\gamma_0\gamma_5, i\gamma_3\gamma_5\}$, whereas $M_{c,S} = i\gamma_1\gamma_2$ is a scalar under under the chiral transformation. Hence all the three chiral symmetry breaking orders must lead to identical spectrum of Hall conductivity in quantizing magnetic fields, $\nu = 0$ Hall state.

Recently, there has been various proposal for the electronic ground state in bilayer graphene subject to magnetic field.[39] In particular, a recent experiment shows that bilayer graphene exists in a gapped phase in the absence of magnetic field when the system is dual gated.[15] Otherwise the gap grows monotonically the magnetic field, whereas it gradually disappears with a weak perpendicular electric field. These observation predicts that the zero field order is likely to be an anti-ferromagnet and rules out the possibility of a layer polarized state. However, for week fields the most promising candidate of the correlated state in that system is a partially spin polarized state, with coexisting easy plane anti-ferromagnet and and easy axis (in the direction of the field) magnetization.[40]

V. NAMBU-DIRAC FERMION

In the previous section, we considered the insulating orders for spinless fermions in a bilayer graphene system, leading to gaps in the spectrum. We name them as *mass orders*. We study their response to quantizing magnetic fields and modification of the Landau level spectrum when those orders acquire an non-vanishing expectation values. Next we wish to find all the mass orders, including the insulators as well as the superconductors and various nematic orders. To accommodate all the order parameters, we need to introduce a particle-hole doubled 16-component Nambu-Dirac fermion, defined as $\Psi = (\Psi_p, \Psi_h)^\top$, with $\Psi_p = (\Psi_{p,\uparrow}, \Psi_{p,\downarrow})^\top$ and $\Psi_p = (\Psi_{h,\downarrow}, -\Psi_{h,\uparrow})^\top$ with

$$\Psi^\top_{p\sigma}(\vec{q}) = \left[v_{1,\sigma}(\vec{K}+\vec{q}), v_{2,\sigma}(\vec{K}+\vec{q}), v_{1,\sigma}(-\vec{K}+\vec{q}), v_{2,\sigma}(-\vec{K}+\vec{q})\right], \tag{25}$$

$$\Psi^\top_{h\sigma}(\vec{q}) = \left[v^\dagger_{2,\sigma}(\vec{K}-\vec{q}), v^\dagger_{1,\sigma}(\vec{K}-\vec{q}), v^\dagger_{2,\sigma}(-\vec{K}-\vec{q}), v^\dagger_{1,\sigma}(-\vec{K}-\vec{q})\right], \tag{26}$$

similar to a Nambu-Dirac spinor recently considered in the context of mono-layer graphene.[41] In this basis, the tight binding Hamiltonian in the low energy approximation then takes the form

$$H_t = \sum_{\vec{q}} \Psi^\dagger(\vec{q}) H_0 \Psi(\vec{q}), \tag{27}$$

where H_0 in the first quantization reads as

$$H_0 = \tau_3 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes \left[\gamma_2 \left(\frac{q_x^2 - q_y^2}{2\,m}\right) + \gamma_1 \left(\frac{-2\,q_x\,q_y}{2\,m}\right)\right], \tag{28}$$

where the four dimensional gamma matrices belong to the aforementioned representation. The effective mass of the quasiparticle excitations is $m = t_\perp / v_F^2$, where $v_F = \sqrt{3}\;ta/2$ is the Fermi velocity in single layer graphene. The two component Pauli matrices $(\tau_0, \vec{\tau})$ operates on Nambu's, whereas $(\sigma_0, \vec{\sigma})$ on the spin indices. Before we proceed to identify the massive and nematic order parameters, it is worth pausing to register the symmetries of the quadratic Hamiltonian H_0. The reflection symmetries of H_0 under the exchange of the layers and the Dirac points, mentioned in Sec. II, are respectively generated by $I_{12} = \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_2$ and $I_K = \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_1\gamma_5$. The generator of translation is $P = \tau_3 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_3\gamma_5$. H_0 also commutes with the number operator $N = \tau_3 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes I_4$. One advantage of this representation of the Nambu-Dirac spinor is that three generators of the rotation of electrons spin assume a simple form $\vec{S} = \tau_0 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes I_4$. The free Hamiltonian ($H_0$) commutes with $\vec{S}$.

Besides the above 16-component Nambu-Dirac spinor, we also define an unitarily equivalent one $\Phi = (\Phi_p, \Phi_h)^\top$, where $\Phi_p = (\Phi_{p,\uparrow}, \Phi_{p,\downarrow})^\top$ and $\Phi_h = (\Phi_{h,\uparrow}, \Phi_{h,\downarrow})^\top$, with

$$\Phi_{p\sigma}(\vec{q}) = \Psi^\top_{h\sigma}(-\vec{q}), \tag{29}$$

and

$$\Phi^\top_{h\sigma}(-\vec{q}) = \left[v^\dagger_{1,\sigma}(\vec{K}-\vec{q}), v^\dagger_{2,\sigma}(\vec{K}-\vec{q}), v^\dagger_{1,\sigma}(-\vec{K}-\vec{q}), v^\dagger_{2,\sigma}(-\vec{K}-\vec{q})\right]. \tag{30}$$

This representation (Φ) is unitarily related to the previous one (Ψ)

$$\Phi(\vec{q}) = \left[I_8 \;\oplus\; (i\sigma_2 \otimes \gamma_2)\right] \Psi(\vec{q}), \tag{31}$$

where I_8 is a 8-dimensional unity matrix. Soon we will appreciate the usefulness of such unitary equivalence. A similar definition of spinor has recent been used to describe all the possible masses in monolayer graphene.[42] We can immediately notice that there are altogether 64 matrices ($\mathcal{M}$), where

$$\mathcal{M} = (\tau_0, \tau_3) \otimes (\sigma_0, \vec{\sigma}) \otimes (\gamma_0, \gamma_3, \gamma_5, i\gamma_1\gamma_2)\,, \tag{32}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M} = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \otimes (\sigma_0, \vec{\sigma}) \otimes (I_4, i\gamma_0\gamma_3, i\gamma_0\gamma_5, i\gamma_3\gamma_5)\,, \tag{33}$$

which anti-commute with the kinetic energy Hamiltonian H_0 in Eq. (28). Naively, one may therefore assume that all the 64 fermionic bilinears of the form $\Psi^\dagger \mathcal{M} \Psi$ will lead to gapped quasi-particle excitation. However, such abundance is clearly an artifact of Nambu doubling of original degrees of freedoms. Next we show that this number is drastically reduced by some algebraic constrains that the mass order parameters need to satisfy. Upon imposing the constraints, we show that only 28 bilinears lead to gap in the excitation spectrum.

VI. MASS ORDERS

Next we wish to derive the algebraic constraints that all the mass order parameters need to satisfy. We derive them separately for insulating and the superconducting orders.

A. Gapped insulators

All the insulating order parameters commute with the number operator (N). Therefore, one can have either τ_0 or τ_3 in the Nambu space. Otherwise, in general any insulating order (INS) is restricted to the following form

$$\text{INS} \;=\; \Psi^\dagger \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & M_2 \end{array}\right] \Psi, \tag{34}$$

where M_1 and M_2 are 8-dimensional Hermitian matrices. Let us first consider the insulating orders with τ_0 in Nambu space. Then $M_1 \;=\; M_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{INS} \;&=\; \Phi^\dagger \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_8 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & iR \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} M_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & M_1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_8 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -iR \end{array}\right) \right\} \Phi \\ &=\; \Phi^\dagger_p M_1 \Phi_p + \Phi^\dagger_h \Big[R \times M_1 \times R \Big] \Phi_h \\ &=\; \Phi^\dagger_p \left(M_1 - \left(R \times M_1 \times R \right)^\top \right) \Phi_p, \end{aligned}$$

where $R = \sigma_2 \otimes \gamma_2$. In our representation, all the matrices are either *purely* real or *purely* imaginary. Hence either $M_1^\top \;=\; +M_1$ or $M_1^\top \;=\; -M_1$, respectively. Together with this condition, $\left(\sigma_2 \otimes \gamma_2\right)^\top \;=\; -\sigma_2 \otimes \gamma_2$ gives us the requisite condition for non-zero expectation value of the gapped insulating orders ($\langle \text{INS} \rangle \neq 0$),

$$\left(\sigma_2 \otimes \gamma_2\right) \times M_1^\top \times \left(\sigma_2 \otimes \gamma_2\right) \;=\; -M_1. \tag{35}$$

Only the following bilinears (and hence mass order parameters) meet the above criteria,

1. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_0\right) \Psi \rangle$: layer polarized state,
2. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_1\gamma_2\right) \Psi \rangle$: anomalous Hall insulator,
3. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_5\right) \Psi \rangle$: *odd* spin singlet Kekule current ,
4. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_0 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes \gamma_3\right) \Psi \rangle$: *even* spin-triplet Kekule current.

The classification *even*, and *odd* reflects the transformation of the order parameter under the exchange of Dirac points. On the other hand, with τ_3 in the Nambu space, i.e. $M_1 = -M_2$ the above condition in Eq. (35) reverts to

$$\left(\sigma_2 \otimes \gamma_2\right) \times M_1^\top \times \left(\sigma_2 \otimes \gamma_2\right) \;=\; +M_1. \tag{36}$$

It allows us to capture the remaining insulating masses:

5. $\langle \Psi \left(\tau_3 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_3\right) \Psi \rangle$: even spin-singlet Kekule current,
6. $\langle \Psi \left(\tau_3 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes \gamma_0\right) \Psi \rangle$: antiferromagnet,
7. $\langle \Psi \left(\tau_3 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes i\gamma_1\gamma_2\right) \Psi \rangle$: spin Hall insulator
8. $\langle \Psi \left(\tau_3 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes \gamma_5\right) \Psi \rangle$: odd spin-triplet Kekule current.

Hence, there are 8-insulating orders, towards which the semimetallic bilayer graphene may suffer instabilities and open gap at the Dirac points. As one can see that it requires 16 linearly independent matrices, anti-commuting with the kinetic energy Hamiltonian, to define all the gapped insulating orders. However, some of them are connected by the ordinary rotations of electrons spin. All the spin-singlet orders, e.g. layer polarized, quantum anomalous, singlet Kekule currents break the discrete layer-inversion symmetry, generated by I_{12}. On the other hand, the spin triplet orders, anti-ferromagnet, spin-Hall, spin Kekule orders additionally break the spin rotational symmetry. Thus, such ordered phases are always accompanied by 2 massless *Goldstone modes*. In the low energy limit, there is an internal $U(1)$ symmetry among various realizations of Kekule orders (for both spin singlet and triplet), $(m_1\gamma_3, m_2\gamma_5)$. However, such an *emergent* internal symmetry is clearly an artifact of the parabolic band approximation of the dispersion near the Dirac points. The actual lattice always reduces such an emergent symmetry. Hence in an ordered phase with finite Kekule current there is no massless mode arising from the breaking of the internal $U(1)$ symmetry.

B. Gapped superconductors

Next we find the gapped superconducting states available for fermions in bilayer graphene to pair into. Superconducting or the *off-diagonal* order parameters, on the other hand *anticommute* with the number operators (N) and takes the following form

$$\text{SC} \;=\; \Psi^\dagger \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & M \\ \hline M^\dagger & 0 \end{array}\right] \Psi, \tag{37}$$

in general. M is an 8-dimensional matrix. SC can also be casted in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SC} \;&=\; \Phi^\dagger \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_8 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & iR \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & M \\ \hline M^\dagger & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_8 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -iR \end{array}\right) \right\} \Phi \\ &=\; \Phi^\dagger \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & -iM\,R \\ \hline i\,R\,M^\dagger & 0 \end{array}\right] \Phi. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Therefore, any off-diagonal order with nontrivial expectation value must satisfy the following condition

$$\left(\sigma_2 \otimes \gamma_2\right)\; M^\top \;=\; M\; \left(\sigma_2 \otimes \gamma_2\right). \tag{39}$$

The superconducting orders concur with this constrain are

1. $\langle \Psi \left[\left(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi\right) \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_0\gamma_3 \right] \Psi \rangle$: spin-singlet s-wave,

2. $\langle \Psi \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes i\gamma_0\gamma_5 \right] \Psi \rangle$: spin-triplet f-wave,
3. $\langle \Psi \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes I_4 \right] \Psi \rangle$: spin-singlet s-Kekule,
4. $\langle \Psi \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_3\gamma_5 \right] \Psi \rangle$: spin-singlet p-Kekule,

superconductors. Here ϕ is the superconducting phase. The s-wave order is even under the exchange of layers and Dirac points and translationally invariant. Similar s-wave phase can also be realized in neutral mono-layer graphene if the onsite attractive interaction is sufficiently strong.[43] The translationally invariant f-wave SC order, is odd (even) under Dirac point (layer) exchange and changes its sign six times around the Brillouin zone, similar to the one in mono-layer graphene.[44] The Kekule superconductors, on the other hand, break the translational symmetry of the lattice into Kekule pattern, odd under the exchange of layers and spin singlet. Otherwise, s(p)-Kekule is even (odd) under the Dirac point exchange. In a monolayer graphene, the Kekule superconductors are spin-triplet.[41]

Therefore, fermions in bilayer graphene can pair into 4 gapped superconducting phases. However, one requires 12 linearly independent matrices to define all of them. Hence, altogether there are

$$16 \text{ (insulators)} + 12 \text{ (superconductors)} = 28$$

fermionic bi-linears which can give rise to gap in the quasiparticle dispersion, near the parabolic degeneracy points.

VII. NEMATIC ORDERS

Besides the fully gapped gapped orders, fermions in bilayer graphene can also develop interaction induced nematic orders. Before we proceed to recognize all possible nematic orders, it is worth pausing to provide a generalized definition of the nematic orders.

A. Definition

For simplicity let us consider spinless fermions once again. Recall that in the vicinity of the parabolic touching points the kinetic energy Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H_0[q_x, q_y] \;=\; \gamma_2 \left(\frac{q_x^2 - q_y^2}{2m} \right) + \gamma_1 \left(\frac{-2 q_x q_y}{2m} \right). \quad (40)$$

A nematic order is associated with a matrix ($\mathcal{M}$) which anti-commutes with only one of the matrices appearing in the free Hamiltonian (namely, γ_1 and γ_2), while it commutes with the other one. If such an order develops a finite expectation value then the parabolic bands split into two Dirac cones separated by an amount proportional to the size of the nematic order. Otherwise, the nematic order is invariant under rotation by π. Note, the parabolic bands are associated with a Berry phase 2π. Therefore, an order parameter can in principle split the parabolic band into two Dirac cones, each of them carrying a Berry phase π. To gain further intuition about the nematic orders let us consider *two* specific examples: (1) $M = \Delta_1 \gamma_1$ and (2) $M = \Delta_2 \gamma_2$. Here $\Delta_{1,2}$ correspond to sizes of two nematic orders. In the former situation, the Dirac cones appear at $q_x = q_y = \pm\sqrt{m\Delta_1}/2m$, whereas a finite Δ_2, gives birth to two Dirac cones at $q_x = 0, q_y = \pm\sqrt{2\Delta_2 m}/2m$.

B. Nematic semimetals

Next we consider the 16-component Nambu-Dirac spinor (Ψ) and wish to write down all the possible nematic semi-metals (commutes with the number operator) as well as superconductors (anti-commutes with the number operator). Natively, one can assume there are all together 64 semimetallic nematic order parameters, of the form $(\tau_0, \tau_3) \otimes (\sigma_0, \vec{\sigma}) \otimes Nm$, where $Nm \in (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, i\gamma_0\gamma_1, i\gamma_0\gamma_2, i\gamma_1\gamma_3, i\gamma_2\gamma_3, i\gamma_1\gamma_5, i\gamma_2\gamma_5)$. However, to acquire finite expectation values, the semi-metallic nematic orders need to satisfy one of the constrains in Eq. (35) (if spin-singlet) or Eq. (36) (if spin-triplet). In conjunction with the above definition, those constrains restrict the possible spin-singlet nematic insulators ($\langle \Psi^\dagger M \Psi \rangle \neq 0$) to the following bilinears:

1. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_1, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_2 \right) \Psi \rangle$,
2. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_3 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_0\gamma_2, \tau_3 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_0\gamma_1 \right) \Psi \rangle$,
3. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_2\gamma_3, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_1\gamma_3 \right) \Psi \rangle$,
4. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_3 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_2\gamma_5, \tau_3 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_1\gamma_5 \right) \Psi \rangle$.

Elements within the same group are connected by rotation of $\pi/2$ around the Dirac points, generated by $I_{RK} = \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_1\gamma_2$. The first member of each group is odd under exchanges of two layers, whereas the second entry is even under the same operation. This symmetry is generated by $I_{12} = \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_2$. The nematic orders in last two group (3 and 4) break the translational symmetry generated by $P = \tau_3 \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_3\gamma_5$, where those in the first two groups (1 and 2) are invariant under translation. Under the exchange of two Dirac points, the first member of the group 1, and the second members of the remaining groups, are even. Whereas the other members of each group are odd under that interchange. One can write down all the bilinears (order parameters) corresponds to the *spin-nematic* orders,

1. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_3 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes \gamma_1, \tau_3 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes \gamma_2 \right) \Psi \rangle$,
2. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_0 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes i\gamma_0\gamma_2, \tau_0 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes i\gamma_0\gamma_1 \right) \Psi \rangle$,
3. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_3 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes i\gamma_2\gamma_3, \tau_3 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes i\gamma_1\gamma_3 \right) \Psi \rangle$,

4. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left(\tau_0 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes i\gamma_2\gamma_5, \tau_0 \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes i\gamma_1\gamma_5 \right) \Psi \rangle$.

Transformations of the spin-nematic orders under the exchange of two layers, Dirac points, translational are identical to the ones for spin-singlet nematic orders, since all the symmetry operator have σ_0 (two dimensional identity matrix) in the spin block. Apart from the massless Dirac fermionic excitations, spin-nematic phases are also accompanied by two massless Goldstone modes in the ordered states.

C. Nematic superconductors

Other than the nematic insulators fermions can also pair into various nematic superconductors. Though, one can find 64, Nambu-Dirac bilinears, which anti-commutes with the number operator and only one of the matrices in the free Hamiltonian, only the following pairing order parameters satisfy Eq. (39)

1. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_1 \right] \Psi \rangle$
2. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_2 \right] \Psi \rangle$
3. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_0\gamma_1 \right] \Psi \rangle$
4. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_0\gamma_2 \right] \Psi \rangle$
5. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes i\gamma_1\gamma_3 \right] \Psi \rangle$
6. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \vec{\sigma} \otimes i\gamma_2\gamma_3 \right] \Psi \rangle$
7. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_1\gamma_5 \right] \Psi \rangle$
8. $\langle \Psi^\dagger \left[(\tau_1 \cos\phi + \tau_2 \sin\phi) \otimes \sigma_0 \otimes i\gamma_2\gamma_5 \right] \Psi \rangle$,

where ϕ is the superconducting phase. Last 4 superconducting orders preserve the translational symmetry and the cooper pairs are formed by pairing fermions with momentum $\vec{K} + \vec{q}$ and $-\vec{K} - \vec{q}$ or $\vec{q} \rightarrow -\vec{q}$. The remaining 4 parings are spatially inhomogeneous with periodicity $2\vec{K}$ and breaks the translational symmetry of bilayer honeycomb lattice into Kekule pattern. Cooper pairs in those channels are formed by gluing fermions with momenta $\vec{K} + \vec{q}$ and $\vec{K} - \vec{q}$ and $\vec{K} \rightarrow -\vec{K}$. We name them as *nematic-Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinikov* superconductors. The 1st, 4th, 6th, 8th nematic superconducting pairings are even, while the remaining four pairing are odd, under the exchange of two layers. On the other hand, the 1st, 3rd, 5th and 8th superconducting orders changes sign under the exchange of two Dirac points, while the rest four pairings remains invariant. Elements of the pairs $\left(1^{st}, 2^{nd}\right), \left(3^{rd}, 4^{th}\right), \left(5^{th}, 6^{th}\right), \left(7^{th}, 8^{th}\right)$ of the nematic superconducting orders transforms into each other under the $\pi/2$ rotation around the Dirac points, generated by I_{RK}.

Above we present all the possible nematic, including semi-matallic as well as superconducting orders. All together there are

$$32 \text{ (semimetals)} + 24 \text{ (superconductors)}{=}56$$

fermionic bi-linears that define all the nematic orders in honeycomb bilayer graphene. Note, one can write a set of matrices as $Nem{=}iH^j_0 \mathcal{M}_k$, where H^j_0 is one of the two matrices appearing in the kinetic energy Hamiltonian, whereas $\mathcal{M}_k$ is one of the 28 matrices, defining the massive order parameters. By construction, Nem is Hermitian, anti-commutes with one of the matrices in free Hamiltonian, while commutes with the other one, hence meets the definition of the nematic order parameters. Therefore, the total number of nematic semi-metal is 2×16 (number of gapped insulators) $= 32$, and that of superconductors is 2×12 (number of gapped superconductors) $= 24$, in accordance with our explicit computation, yielding total 56 nematic order parameters. However, physically they corresponds to 16 semimetals and 8 superconductors.

VIII. INTERACTIONS

In this section, we present a qualitative discussion on the role of electron-electron interactions in bilayer graphene. As mentioned previously, all the four fermion interactions are marginal in the bare level. That allows one to perform an weak coupling expansion about the symmetric semi-metallic ground state to study its instabilities towards the formation of various correlated phases. The interacting theory in bilayer graphene can be expressed in terms of 18 quartic interactions.[22] However, not all the 18 coupling constants are linearly independent. There exist a set of linear constrains, so called *Fierz identity*, which allows one to write each of the quartic terms as a linear combination of the others.[23,45] Such linear constrains restrict the number of independent quartic terms to *nine* (9). For example, one can write all the interactions in the spin-triplet channel as linear combinations of the singlet ones.

With repulsive Hubbard interaction (U), a one loop renormalization group calculation shows that system finds itself in a state with a staggered pattern of spin among the two layers. This prediction can also be justified from the strong coupling physics.[22] It is expected that each of the layers is anti-ferromagnetic ordered at least when $t_\perp = 0$ and $U/t \gg 1$.[46] However, the relative orientation of the anti-ferromagnet order in two layers, is arbitrary, when $t_\perp = 0$. Upon turning on $t_\perp$, the sub-lattice magnetization on two layers assumes a staggered pattern. Using a similar argument, one can also predict the possible ground state if the repulsion (V_2) among the fermions living on the next-neighbor sites on same layer is the strongest component of the finite-ranged Coulomb interaction. If the layers are completely decoupled ($t_\perp \equiv 0$), each layer is expected to find itself in the *quantum spin Hall insulator* phase, at least when $V_2/t \gg 1$.[47] This phase supports circulating currents among the sites of the same sub-lattice. Otherwise it orients in opposite direction on two sublattices.[31] Its orientation is opposite for two spin projections. The spin

Hall insulator preserves the total time reversal symmetry as well as the inversion symmetry. The spin Hall insulator additionally breaks the spin rotational symmetry. Therefore the ordered phase is accompanied by one massive and two massless modes.[47,48] When $t_\perp = 0$, the orientation of the Haldane's circulating current in two layers are completely independent. A small $t_\perp$, however locks the circulation in two layers in the same direction.

When the interaction is relatively long ranged possibly an unconventional phase, *nematic order* arises. Unlike the fully gapped phases, a nematic order splits the parabolic band into two Dirac cones. However they appear at different location in the Brillouin zone than the Dirac points, which on the other hand remain gapped. The separation among these two cones are proportional to the magnitude of the nematic order. The experimentally observed nematic phase appears to be translational symmetric.[14] Note that any lattice model with density-density interaction contains both intra-valley (forward) as well as inter-valley (backward) scatterings. Their relative strength however depends on the range of the interaction. Therefore one can find a rich phase digram of various correlated phases simply by tuning the relative strength of these two scatterings.[49,50]

If on the other hand, the net interaction acquires an attractive component, fermions in bilayer graphene may condense into variety of superconducting states. An attractive interaction can arise, for example from electron-phonon interactions or novel proximity effect. An on-site attraction can favor a spin singlet s-wave superconducting order, as in graphene.[43,49] Attractive interaction among the fermions living on the same layer, but at the next neighbor sites can support a spin triplet f-wave superconducting state. The superconducting order parameter changes its sign six times around the Brillouin zone, similar to the one appropriate for the mono-layer graphene.[44] Two spatially inhomogeneous, spin singlet superconductors may arise in bilayer grpahene when electrons living on two layers attract each other. The order parameter is odd under the exchange of the layers, and breaks the translational symmetry of the lattice. Otherwise the s- and p-Kekule states are respectively, odd and even under the Dirac point exchange. At this moment, the microscopic origin of the Nematic superconductors are unknown. However, in a recent work, existence of some unconventional superconducting states has been proposed theoretically.[50]

IX. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

To summarize, we here present all the possible ordered phases, including fully gapped massive as well as nematic phases for fermions on bilayer graphene, and study their transformation under various symmetries (discrete and/or continuous). The parabolic bands touching each other at the Dirac points can be gapped out by forming either of the 28 massive ordered phases, which includes 8 insulating and 4 superconducting phases. On the other hand, fermions can also condense into various *nematic* ground states. We here show that there as all together 56 nematic order parameters in bilayer graphene. However, they corresponds to 16 semi-metallic and, 8 superconducting nematic phases. Nematic superconductors can also break the translation symmetry. They can be classified as *nematic-Fulde-Farrell-Larkin-Ovchinikov* superconductors. Nevertheless, the realization of these correlated ground states, the role of long ranged Coulomb interaction still remains as a open question.

Here we have shown that in the low energy limit, the effective theory of gappless fermions can be described in terms of parabolic bands, touching each other at the Dirac points. However, upon taking into account the direct hopping amplitudes among the low-energy degrees of freedom (B sites), such parabolic band touching splits into four Dirac cones. Consequence, one still requires finite strength of interactions to stabilize various ordered phases. Such critical strength of interactions is expected to be much smaller than that for monolayer graphene, since the direct hopping is weak. It is also therefore quite interesting to study the nature of the associated *quantum phase transitions* in bilayer graphene at zero temperature.[51] We leave this study for future investigations.

X. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author is grateful to Igor Herbut for many useful discussion and in particular for some constructive criticism on the Clifford algebraic (see Appendix) structure of this problem. It is authors pleasure to acknowledge Oskar Vafek, Kun Yang, Vladimir Czetkovic, Robert Throckmorton, Pallab Goswami for useful discussion. Author is in debt to Ananth S. Narayan and K. Yang for critical reading of the manuscript. Author wishes to acknowledge supported by National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-0654118, the State of Florida, and the U.S. Department of Energy. Author also appreciates the hospitality of Les Houches Summer school on 'Strongly interacting quantum systems out of equilibrium', during which part of the manuscript was prepared.

XI. APPENDIX A: ALGEBRAIC DERIVATION OF MASSES AND NEMATIC ORDERS IN BILAYER GRAPHENE

Here we present an alternate formulation to derive the number of massive and nematic order parameters in the bilayer graphene. In what follows next, our derivation closely mimics a similar analysis for monolayer graphene, presented in Appendix A of Ref. (53). However, the algebraic structures of these two problems enjoy significant differences, as we show below. Let us consider a 16 component Nambu-Dirac fermions $\Psi = (\Psi_p, \Psi_h)^\top$, so that the effective Hamiltonian describing the low energy excitations reads as

$$H_k = H_0(\vec{k}) \oplus \left(-H_0^\top(-\vec{k})\right), \tag{41}$$

where

$$H_0(\vec{k}) = \sum_{i=1,2} \alpha_i \, d_i, \tag{42}$$

with

$$d_1 = \frac{k_x^2 - k_y^2}{2m} \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 = \frac{-2k_x k_y}{2m}, \tag{43}$$

α_1 and α_2 are eight component Hermitian matrices.[52] Here we wish to find all the Hermitian matrices (M_is) that anti-commutes with Hamiltonian H_k, and develop gaps in the spectrum. In principle, there are numerous possibilities. However, we are are interested only in those matrices which give nonzero expectation values of the fermionic bi-linears

$$m \;=\; \langle \Psi^\dagger M \Psi \rangle \;\neq\; 0. \tag{44}$$

This condition can be satisfied only if

$$M \;=\; -\left(\sigma_1 \otimes I_8\right) \; M^\top \; \left(\sigma_1 \otimes I_8\right), \tag{45}$$

where I_8 is eight dimensional unit matrix.[54] It was previously shown by Altland and Zirnbauer[54] that there exist a unitary matrix $U = U_2 \otimes I_8$, such that

$$\tilde{M} = -\tilde{M}^\top, \tag{46}$$

where $\tilde{M} = UMU^\dagger$. It particular one can show that[53]

$$U_2 = (\pm i)^{1/2} \, e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_2} e^{i(\frac{\pi}{4}-\phi)\sigma_3}, \tag{47}$$

whereas $\phi = \pi/4$ was originally considered in Ref. (53). Therefore, after the unitary rotation by U, the mass-matrices are purely imaginary. Transformation of the free Hamiltonian H_0 under the same unitary roatation can be captured by writing

$$\alpha_i \;=\; Re\left(\alpha_i\right) \;+\; i \; Im\left(\alpha_i\right). \tag{48}$$

Therefore

$$H_k \;=\; \left(\sigma_3 \otimes Re(\alpha_i) \;+\; i \;\sigma_0 \otimes Im(\alpha_i)\right) d_i \equiv \Gamma_i d_i. \tag{49}$$

On the other hand, $U_2 \sigma_3 U_2^\dagger \;=\; \sigma_2$. After the unitary transformation the free Hamiltonian is

$$\tilde{H}_k \;=\; \left(\sigma_2 \otimes Re(\alpha_i) \;+\; i \;\sigma_0 \otimes Im(\alpha_i)\right) d_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{2} \tilde{\Gamma}_i d_i, \tag{50}$$

with $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ now being purely imaginary matrices. A similar analysis showed that the free Dirac Hamiltonian (linear in momentum) in a monolayer graphene is defined in terms of real $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ matrices.[53]

Next we are after all the 16×16 imaginary matrices that anti-commute with $\tilde{\Gamma}_i, \; i = 1, 2$. Since, $i\tilde{\Gamma}_j, i\tilde{M}$ are all real and square to -1, we first seek to know the maximal number of q, so that for $p \geq 0$, the dimensionality of the real representation is sixteen and together close a $C(p, q)$ algebra. The answer is 8. They form a clifford algebra $C(0, 8)$.[55,56] $C(p, q)$ defines a set of $p + q$ mutually anti-commuting matrices; p of them square to $+1$, whereas q of them square to -1. Let us now define a set of 8 anti-commuting real matrices

$$\mathcal{I} \;\;=\;\; \left(I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5, I_6, I_7, I_8\right), \tag{51}$$

all of which squares to -1. Since, $\tilde{\Gamma}_1, \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ are imaginary, let us assume $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = iI_1$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 = iI_2$. Next we want to find all the Hermitian imaginary matrices, anti-commute with I_1 and I_2. Result is shown in the table below.

Mass matrix($\mathcal{M}$)

$i \; I_1 \; I_2 (= \; m_1)$	1
$iI_kI_lI_mI_nI_p \; (k \neq l \neq m \neq n \neq p = 3, \cdots, 8)$	6
$i \, (I_3, , I_4, I_5, I_6, I_7, I_8)$	6
$im_1 \, (I_kI_lI_mI_n) \, (k \neq l \neq m \neq n = 3, \cdots, 8)$	15

The numbers in the right column indicates the number of mass matrices belongs to each class of mass matrices. Therefore we found there are all together 28 imaginary Hermitian matrices (mass orders) which anti-commute with the kinetic energy Hamiltonian. This number is in accordance with the one we compute here explicitly. One can perform a similar exercise to find the number of mass matrices for single layer graphene. That number is shown to be 36.[42]

One can also immediately find the number of nematic order in bilayer graphene. Any nematic order, with finite expectation value, assumes the form $i\Gamma_j\mathcal{M}$, where $j = 1$ or 2. By construction it is Hermitian and imaginary. Therefore the total number of nematic order parameter is 2 (number of matrices in H_k) ×28 (number of mass matrices) = 56, in agreement with our explicit computation.

[1] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim1, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang1, Y. Zhang1, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva1 and A. A. Firsov, Science **306**, 666 (2004).

[2] G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2459 (1984).

[3] K. S. Novoselov, E. McCann, S. V. Morozov, V. I. Falko, M. I. Katsnelson, U. Zeitler, D. Jiang, F. Schedin, and A. K. Geim, Nat. Phys. **2**, 177 (2006).

[4] A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 109 (2009).

[5] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grig- orieva, S. V. Dubonos, and A. A. Firsov, Nature (London) **438**, 197 (2005).

[6] E. McCann and V. I. Falko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 086805 (2006).

[7] J. Kailasvuori, Eur. Phys. Lett. **87**, 47008 (2009).

[8] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, Phys. Rev. A **19**, 2461 (1979); R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 2375 (1984); B. Roy, I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 195422 (2011); A. O. Slobodeniuk, S. G. Sharapov, and V. M. Loktev, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 125306 (2011).

[9] I. F. Herbut, Physics **2**, 57 (2009).

[10] A. S. Mayorov, D. C. Elias, M. Mucha-Kruczynski, R. V. Gorbachev, T. Tudorovskiy, A. Zhukov, S. V. Morozov, M. I. Katsnelson, V. I. Falko, A. K. Geim, K. S. Novoselov, Science **333**, 807 (2011).

[11] O. Vafek and K. Yang, Phys. Rev B **81**, 041401(R) (2010).

[12] K. Sun, H. Yao, E. Fradkin and S. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 046811 (2009).

[13] R. E. Throckmorton, O. Vafek, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 115447 (2012).

[14] R. T. Weitz, M. T. Allen, B. E. Feldman, J. Martin, A. Jacoby, Science **330**, 812 (2010).

[15] J. Velasco Jr., L. Jing, W. Bao, Y. Lee, P. Kratz, V. Aji, M. Bockrath, C.N. Lau, C. Varma, R. Stillwell, D. Smirnov, Fan Zhang, J. Jung, A.H. MacDonald, Nat. Nano. **7**, 156 (2012).

[16] E. V. Castro, K. S. Novoselov, S. V. Morozov, N. M. R. Peres, J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, J. Nilsson, F, Guinea, A. K. Geim, A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 216802 (2007).

[17] Fan Zhang, Hongki Min, Marco Polini, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 041402 (2010).

[18] A. I. Larkin and Y. N. Ovchinnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **47**, 1136 (1964), [Sov. Phys. JETP **20**, 762 (1965)].

[19] P. Fulde and R. A. Ferrell, Phys. Rev. **135**, A550 (1964).

[20] T. A. Gloor and F. Milla, Eur. Phys. J. B **38**, 9 (2004).

[21] L. M. Zhang, Z. Q. Li, D. N. Basov, M. M. Fogler, Z. Hao, and M. C. Martin, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 235408 (2008).

[22] O. Vafek, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 205106 (2010).

[23] I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 146401 (2006); I. F. Herbut, V. Juričić, B. Roy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 085116 (2009).

[24] D. L. Bergman, and K. L. Hur, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 184520 (2009).

[25] I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 193405 (2009).

[26] I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 094504 (2002); Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 237001 (2005); D. J. Lee and I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 094512 (2002); Z. Tešanović, O. Vafek, and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 180511 (2002); M. Franz, T. Pereg-Barnea, D.E. Sheehy, and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 024508 (2003); I. O. Thomas and S. Hands, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 134516 (2007).

[27] Y. Lemonik, I. Aleiner, C. Toke, and V. Fal'ko, arXiv:1006.1399; I. L. Aleiner, D. E. Kharzeev, and A. M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 195415 (2007).

[28] K. Gotfried and T-M. Yan, *Quantum Mechanics: Fundamentals*, 2nd ed. (Springer, 2004), Sec. 7.2.

[29] I. F. Herbut, V. Juričić, O. Vafek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 046403 (2008).

[30] J. Gonzalez, F. Guinea, and M. A. H. Vozmediano, Nucl. Phys. B **424**, 595 (1994); J. Gonzalez, F. Guinea, and M. A. H. Vozmediano, Phys. Rev. B **59**, R2474 (1999).

[31] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**,2015 (1988).

[32] C.-K. Lu, I. F. Herbut, phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 266402 (2012).

[33] C.-Y. Hou, C. Chamon, C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 186809 (2007).

[34] The $Cl(3)$ group is a set of 3 mutually anti-commuting matrices. See for example Ref.[55].

[35] V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3499 (1994); Phys. Rev. D **52**, 4747 (1995).

[36] V. P. Gusynin, and S. G. Sharapov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146801 (2005); V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, S. G. Sharapov, and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 195429 (2006); I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 165411 (2007); **76**, 085432 (2007); I. F. Herbut and B. Roy, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 245438 (2008); E. V. Gorbar, V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Scr. T **146**, 014018 (2012).

[37] See also K. Yang, Solid Stae Commun. **143**, 27 (2007); Y. Barlas, Kun Yang, and A. H. MacDonald, *Nanotechnology* **23**, 052001 (2012).

[38] R. Nandkishore, L. Levitov, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 115124 (2010).

[39] E. V. Gorbar, V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 155451 (2010); E. V. Gorbar, V. P. Gusynin, Junji Jia, V. A. Miransky, *ibid.*, **84**, 235449 (2011); E. V. Gorbar, V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, I. A. Shovkovy, *ibid.* **85**, 235460 (2012); M. Kharitonov, arXiv:1109.1553; Y. Barlas, R. Cote, K. Nomura, A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 097601 (2008); Y. Barlas, R. Cote, J. Lambert, A. H. MacDonald *ibid.* **104**, 096802 (2010); X.-Z Yan, C. S. Ting, arXiv:1210.0166; L. Zhu, V. Aji, C. M. Varma, arXiv:1202.0821.

[40] B. Roy, arXiv:1203.6340.

[41] B. Roy, I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 035429 (2010).

[42] S. Ryu, C. Mudry, C.-Y. Hou, C. Chamon, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 204319 (2009).

[43] E. Zhao, A. Paramekanti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 230404 (2006).

[44] C. Honerkamp, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 146404 (2008).

[45] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (Dover, Mineola, NY, 2005).

[46] T. Paiva, R. T. Scalettar, W. Zheng, R. R. P. Singh, and J. Oitmaa, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 085123 (2005).

[47] S. Raghu, Xiao-Liang Qi, C. Honerkamp, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 156401 (2008).

[48] B. Roy and I. F. Herbut, unpublished.

[49] V. Czetkovic, R. Throckmorton, and O. Vafek, Phys. Rev. B **06**, 075467 (2012).

[50] Y. Lemonik, I. L. Aleiner, and V. I. Fal'ko, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 245451 (2012).

[51] For quantum phase transitions in monolayer graphene see, I. F. Herbut, V. Juričić, O. Vafek, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 075432 (2009); V. Juričić, I. F. Herbut, G. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 081405 (2009); B. Roy, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 113404 (2011); B. Roy, V. Juričić, I. F. Herbut, arXiv:1210.3576.

[52] For example one can take $\Psi \equiv \Phi$, as in Eq. (29) and (30), yielding such particular form of the kinetic energy term.

[53] I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 085304 (2012).

[54] A. Altland, M. R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 1142 (1997).

[55] S. Okubo, J. Math Phys. **32**, 1657 (1991).

[56] See also Table. I in Ref. (53).